In Vitro Activity of Lefamulin Against Bacterial Pathogens Commonly Causing Acute Bacterial Skin and Skin Structure Infections (ABSSSI) and Bloodstream Infections (BSI): Global SENTRY Surveillance 2016

ABSTRACT*

Background: Lefamulin (LEF) is the first semisynthetic pleuromutilin antibiotic for IV and oral use in humans and has recently completed a phase 3 clinical trial for the treatment of CABP in adults where it demonstrated noninferiority to moxifloxacin ± linezolid. LEF has further shown potent efficacy in a phase 2 clinical trial in ABSSSI being comparable to vancomycin. This study investigated the activity of LEF and comparators against a ontemporary collection of bacteria commonly causing ABSSSI and BSI worldwide.

Methods: Unique isolates (n=2488) were collected globally (31 countries, 94 sites) from patients with ABSSSI (837), BSI (1130), pneumonia (346), and other infections. LEF and parators were tested by CLSI broth microdilution methods, and susceptibility was determined using CLSI (2018) breakpoints.

Results: LEF showed potent *in vitro* activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* with 99.1% inhibited at $\leq 0.12 \ \mu g/mL$ (MIC_{50/90} of 0.06/0.12 $\mu g/mL$). 32.6% of the S. aureus isolates were oxacillin-resistant (MRSA), which showed particularly high resistance rates to macrolides (68.7%), levofloxacin (68.7%), and clindamycin (34.1%). LEF was similarly active against coagulase-negative staphylococci (of which 72.8% were oxacillin-resistant) 99.4% of all ß-hemolytic streptococci isolates were inhibited by 0.25 µg/mL of LEF. LEF also displayed activity against viridans group streptococci (VGS; LEF MIC_{50/90} of 0.06/1 µg/mL). ß-hemolytic streptococci and VGS were largely susceptible to the tested comparators, except for erythromycin (70.8% S) and clindamycin (86.6% S).

Conclusions: LEF was highly active against this contemporary collection of pathogens commonly causing ABSSSI and BSI, and its activity was not affected by resistance to other antibiotic classes. These data support the continued development of LEF for the treatment of ABSSSI and further exploration of LEF activity in BSI.

INTRODUCTION

- Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes are the predominant causative pathogens for acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI). S. aureus is additionally implicated in a wide spectrum of infections including bloodstream infections (BSI)¹⁻³
- With increasing antibiotic resistance among pathogens, hospitalizations for ABSSSI and BSI continue to rise in the United States and contribute to substantial economic burden, creating an urgent need for novel antibiotics^{1,2,4,5}
- Lefamulin is the first pleuromutilin antibiotic for intravenous and oral use in humans.⁶ It inhibits bacterial protein synthesis by specific interaction with the A- and P-sites in the peptidyl transferase center of the 50S ribosomal subunit
- Lefamulin has recently demonstrated noninferiority to moxifloxacin ± linezolid in two phase 3 clinical trials for the treatment of community-acquired bacterial pneumonia
- Lefamulin has further shown efficacy comparable to vancomycin in a phase 2 clinical trial in patients with ABSSSI caused by Gram-positive pathogens, including methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA)⁷
- The objective of this study was to investigate the *in vitro* activity of lefamulin and comparators against a contemporary collection of bacteria commonly causing ABSSSI and BSI worldwide

METHODS

- A total of 2488 isolates of S. aureus (n=1646), coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS; n=276), β -hemolytic streptococci (n=389), and viridans group streptococci (n=177) were collected from medical centers worldwide in 2016 as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program
- Isolates were collected from patients with BSI (*n*=1130, 45.4%), ABSSSI (*n*=837, 33.6%), pneumonia (*n*=346, 13.9%), urinary tract infections (*n*=25, 1%), intra-abdominal infections (*n*=20, 0.8%), and other infections (*n*=130, 5.2%)
- Antibacterial activity was assessed using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) broth microdilution methodology using cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB)
- Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values for lefamulin and comparator antibacterial agents were determined using CLSI guidelines (www.clsi.org); susceptibility was interpreted per CLSI (2018) breakpoints
- Quality control organisms were tested as controls per CLSI recommendation

RESULTS

- Lefamulin demonstrated potent antibacterial activity against S. aureus with 99.1% of isolates inhibited at ≤0.12 µg/mL (minimum inhibitory concentration required to inhibit 50% [MIC₅₀] and 90% [MIC₉₀] of isolates; MIC_{50/90} of 0.06/0.12 µg/mL; **Table 1**)
- Susceptibility rates were 100% for daptomycin (MIC_{50/90} of 0.5/0.5 µg/mL), linezolid (1/1), teicoplanin (≤0.5/≤0.5), tigecycline (0.06/0.12), and vancomycin (0.5/1)
- 32.6% and 33.6% of S. aureus isolates were resistant to oxacillin (MRSA) and erythromycin
- Among MRSA isolates, higher rates of resistance were seen for macrolides and fluoroquinolones (Table 1)
- 68.7%, 72.2%, and 51.9% of MRSA were resistant to erythromycin, azithromycin, and moxifloxacin, respectively
- The activity of lefamulin against S. aureus was not affected by resistance to methicillin (MIC_{50/90} of 0.06/0.12; **Table 1** and **Figure 1**)
- Lefamulin was one of the most active compounds against CoNS isolates (MIC_{50/90} of 0.03/0.06 μ g/mL; 97.8% inhibited at ≤2 μ g/mL; Table 2)
- The majority of CoNS isolates were susceptible to daptomycin, doxycycline, linezolid, and vancomycin; however, moderate to high resistance rates were seen for clindamycin (29.0%), erythromycin (60.9%), levofloxacin (48.6%), moxifloxacin (36.6%), and oxacillin (72.8%; **Table 2**)
- Lefamulin was active against β-hemolytic streptococci; 99.2% of the isolates were inhibited at $\leq 0.12 \ \mu g/mL$ (MIC_{50/90} of 0.03/0.03 $\mu g/mL$)
- Lefamulin was highly active against S. pyogenes and Streptococcus agalactiae (MIC_{50/90} of 0.015/0.03 and 0.03/0.03 µg/mL, respectively; Table 2)
- All β-hemolytic streptococci isolates were susceptible to ceftriaxone, linezolid, penicillin, and vancomycin, while moderate resistance rates were seen for clindamycin (13.6%) and erythromycin (25.0%; Table 2)

Figure 1. MIC Distributions of Lefamulin for Staphylococcus aureus Isolates Collected From Medical Centers Worldwide in 2016



Susanne Paukner,¹ Jennifer M. Streit,² Robert K. Flamm,² Steven P. Gelone,³ Helio S. Sader² ¹Nabriva Therapeutics GmbH, Vienna, Austria; ²JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA; ³Nabriva Therapeutics US Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA

- *S. aureus* (*n*=1646)
- MSSA (*n*=1110)
- MRSA (*n*=536)



Table 1. Activity of Lefamulin and Comparator Agents Against Staphylococcus aureus

Antibacterial Agent	µg/mL			CLSI ^a		
	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Range	%S	%	%R
S. aureus (n=164	46)					
Lefamulin	0.06	0.12	≤0.008–>16	NA	NA	NA
Azithromycin	0.5	>32	0.03->32	61.3	1.0	37.7
Ceftaroline	0.25	1	≤0.06–>8	96.4	3.5	0.2
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	87.2	0.2	12.6
Doxycycline	≤0.06	0.25	≤0.06–>8	98.2	1.8	0.1
Erythromycin	0.25	>8	≤0.06–>8	60.9	5.5	33.6
Linezolid	1	1	≤0.12–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Moxifloxacin	≤0.06	4	≤0.06–>4	73.4	7.5	19.1
Oxacillin	0.5	>2	≤0.25–>2	67.4	0.0	32.6
Vancomycin	0.5	1	0.25–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
MSSA (<i>n</i> =1110)						
Lefamulin	0.06	0.06	≤0.008–16	NA	NA	NA
Azithromycin	0.5	>32	0.03->32	77.7	1.3	21.0
Ceftaroline	0.25	0.25	≤0.06–0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25–>2	97.6	0.3	2.2
Doxycycline	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06–8	99.7	0.3	0.0
Erythromycin	0.25	>8	≤0.06–>8	77.4	5.9	16.7
Linezolid	1	1	0.25–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Moxifloxacin	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06–>4	94.5	2.3	3.2
Oxacillin	0.5	0.5	≤0.25–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Vancomycin	0.5	1	0.25–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
MRSA (<i>n</i> =536)						
Lefamulin	0.06	0.12	≤0.008–>16	NA	NA	NA
Azithromycin	>32	>32	0.12–>32	27.2	0.6	72.2
Ceftaroline	1	2	0.25->8	88.8	10.6	0.6
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	65.9	0.0	34.1
Doxycycline	≤0.06	1	≤0.06–>8	95.0	4.9	0.2
Erythromycin	>8	>8	≤0.06–>8	26.7	4.7	68.7
Linezolid	1	1	≤0.12–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Moxifloxacin	2	>4	≤0.06–>4	29.7	18.5	51.9
Oxacillin	>2	>2	>2_>2	0.0	0.0	100.0
Vancomycin	0.5	1	0.25–2	100.0	0.0	0.0

I=intermediate; NA=not applicable; R=resistant; S=susceptible.

^aCriteria as published by CLSI 2018.

- Viridans group streptococcal isolates were inhibited by lefamulin (MIC_{50/90}: Streptococcus anginosus group, 0.06/0.25 µg/mL; Streptococcus bovis group, 1/2 µg/mL; Streptococcus mitis group, 0.12/0.5 µg/mL; Streptococcus salivarius group, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL; **Table 3**)
- Among the viridans group streptococcal isolates, high susceptibility rates were seen for vancomycin (100%), ceftriaxone (96.0%), and levofloxacin (93.8%), whereas moderate rates of resistance were observed for erythromycin (34.5%) and clindamycin (9.6%), and 23.8% were nonsusceptible to penicillin

able 2. Activity of Lefamulin and	d Comparator Agents Against
β-Hemolytic Streptococ	ci

Antibacterial		µg/ı	mL		CLSI ^a	
Agent			Range	%S	%	%R
Coagulase-nega	tive staph	ylococci ^b	(<i>n</i> =276)			
Lefamulin	0.03	0.06	≤0.008–>16	NA	NA	NA
Azithromycin	32	>32	0.03->32	37.3	0.4	62.3
Ceftaroline	0.25	1	≤0.06–2	—	—	—
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	70.3	0.7	29.0
Doxycycline	0.25	1	≤0.06–>8	96.0	2.9	1.1
Erythromycin	>8	>8	≤0.06–>8	37.0	2.2	60.9
Linezolid	0.5	1	≤0.12–>8	98.6	0.0	1.4
Moxifloxacin	0.5	>4	≤0.06–>4	53.3	10.1	36.6
Oxacillin	>2	>2	≤0.25–>2	27.2	0.0	72.8
Vancomycin	1	2	0.25-4	100.0	0.0	0.0
β-hemolytic stre	eptococci ^c	(<i>n</i> =389)				
Lefamulin	0.03	0.03	≤0.008–16	NA	NA	NA
Ceftriaxone	0.03	0.06	≤0.015–0.25	100.0	0.0	0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	85.3	1.0	13.6
Erythromycin	0.03	>32	≤0.015–>32	74.0	1.0	25.0
Levofloxacin	0.5	1	0.12->4	97.2	0.5	2.3
Linezolid	1	1	0.5–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Moxifloxacin	0.12	0.25	≤0.03–>4	_	_	_
Penicillin	0.015	0.06	≤0.004–0.06	100.0	0.0	0.0
Vancomycin	0.25	0.5	0.12–1	100.0	0.0	0.0
Streptococcus p	oyogenes	(<i>n</i> =165)				
Lefamulin	0.015	0.03	≤0.008–0.03	NA	NA	NA
Ceftriaxone	0.03	0.03	≤0.015–0.06	100.0	0.0	0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25–>2	95.8	0.0	4.2
Erythromycin	0.03	1	≤0.015–>32	87.8	1.2	11.0
Levofloxacin	0.5	1	0.12–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Linezolid	1	1	0.5–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Moxifloxacin	0.12	0.25	≤0.03–4	_	_	_
Penicillin	0.008	0.015	≤0.004–0.06	100.0	0.0	0.0
Vancomycin	0.25	0.5	0.12-0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0
Streptococcus a	galactiae	(<i>n</i> =168)				
Lefamulin	0.03	0.03	≤0.008–16	NA	NA	NA
Ceftriaxone	0.06	0.06	0.03-0.25	100.0	0.0	0.0
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	76.2	1.8	22.0
Erythromycin	0.06	>32	0.03->32	64.3	1.2	34.5
Levofloxacin	1	1	0.25->4	94.6	0.6	4.8
Linezolid	1	2	0.5–2	100.0	0.0	0.0
Moxifloxacin	0.12	0.25	0.06–>4	_	_	—
Penicillin	0.03	0.06	0.008-0.06	100.0	0.0	0.0
Vancomvcin	0.5	0.5	0.25–1	100.0	0.0	0.0

Group Streptococci								
Antibacterial	µg/mL			CLSI ^a				
Agent		MIC ₉₀	Range	%S	%	%R		
Streptococcus anginosus group ^ь (n=44)								
Lefamulin	0.06	0.25	≤0.008–0.5	NA	NA	NA		
Ceftriaxone	0.25	0.25	≤0.015–0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	86.4	0.0	13.6		
Erythromycin	≤0.015	2	≤0.015–>32	75.0	6.8	18.2		
Levofloxacin	0.5	1	0.25–2	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Moxifloxacin	0.12	0.25	≤0.03–0.5	—	—	—		
Penicillin	0.03	0.06	≤0.004–0.12	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Vancomycin	0.5	1	≤0.06–1	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Streptococcus k	bovis grou	p ^c (<i>n</i> =45)						
Lefamulin	1	2	≤0.008–>16	NA	NA	NA		
Ceftriaxone	0.12	0.12	0.03-0.25	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	82.2	2.2	15.6		
Erythromycin	0.03	>32	≤0.015–>32	64.4	0.0	35.6		
Levofloxacin	1	4	0.5->4	86.7	4.4	8.9		
Moxifloxacin	0.25	4	0.12–>4	—	—	—		
Penicillin	0.06	0.06	0.015-0.12	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Vancomycin	0.25	0.5	0.25-0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Streptococcus r	<i>nitis</i> group	o ^d (<i>n</i> =48)						
Lefamulin	0.12	0.5	0.015–0.5	NA	NA	NA		
Ceftriaxone	0.12	2	≤0.015–>2	89.6	6.2	4.2		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25–>2	91.7	2.1	6.2		
Erythromycin	0.03	4	≤0.015–>32	54.2	0.0	45.8		
Levofloxacin	1	2	0.5–>4	91.7	4.2	4.2		
Moxifloxacin	0.12	0.25	≤0.03–4	—	-	—		
Penicillin	0.12	1	0.008–4	50.0	43.8	6.2		
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	0.25–0.5	100.0	0.0	0.0		
Streptococcus salivarius group ^e (n=40)								
Lefamulin	0.06	0.12	≤0.008–0.25	NA	NA	NA		
Ceftriaxone	0.12	0.5	≤0.015–2	95.0	5.0	0.0		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25–>2	97.5	0.0	2.5		
Erythromycin	≤0.015	4	≤0.015–>32	62.5	0.0	37.5		
Levofloxacin	1	1	0.25->4	97.5	0.0	2.5		
Moxifloxacin	0.12	0.25	≤0.03–2	—	_	—		
Penicillin	0.12	0.5	0.008–4	55.0	42.5	2.5		
Vancomycin	0.5	0.5	0.25–1	100.0	0.0	0.0		
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			and the second sec					

I=intermediate; NA=not applicable; R=resistant; S=susceptible. ^aCriteria as published by CLSI 2018.

Organisms include Streptococcus anginosus (24), S. anginosus group (10), S. constellatus (5), and S. intermedius (5). Organisms include Streptococcus bovis group (3), S. equinus (2), S. gallolyticus (33), and S. lutetiensis (7). ^dOrganisms include Streptococcus gordonii (2), S. mitis group (32), S. mitis/oralis (3), S. oralis (1), S. parasanguinis (7), and S. sanguinis (3).

^eOrganisms include Streptococcus salivarius (12), S. salivarius group (11), S. salivarius/vestibularis (12), and S. vestibularis (5).

I=intermediate; NA=not applicable; R=resistant; S=susceptible. ^aCriteria as published by CLSI 2018

Organisms include Staphylococcus auricularis (1), S. capitis (26), S. caprae (2), S. cohnii (1), S. epidermidis (152), S. haemolyticus (40), S. hominis (28), S. lugdunensis (11), S. pettenkoferi (3), S. saprophyticus (2), S. schleiferi (1), S. sciuri (2), S. simulans (1), and S. warneri (6).

^cOrganisms include Streptococcus agalactiae (168), S. dysgalactiae (56), and S. pyogenes (165).

Presented by Susanne Paukner Phone: +43 1 74093-1224 Email: Susanne.Paukner@nabriva.com

> Nabriva Therapeutics Dublin, Ireland www.nabriva.com

Table 3. Activity of Lefamulin and Comparator Agents Against Viridans

CONCLUSIONS

- Lefamulin demonstrated potent in vitro activity against pathogens commonly causing ABSSSI and BSI in this contemporary collection of isolates
- The activity of lefamulin was not affected by resistance to other classes of antibiotics
- These data support the continued development of lefamulin for the treatment of ABSSSI and further exploration of lefamulin activity in BSI

REFERENCES

- (1) Anderson DJ, et al. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(3):e91713.
- (2) Laupland KB and Church DL. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014;27(4):647-664.
- (3) Tong SY, et al. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2015;28(3):603-661.
- (4) Kaye KS, et al. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(11):e0143276.
- (5) Suaya JA, et al. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2014;14:296.
- (6) Eyal Z, et al. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:39004.
- (7) Prince WT, et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(5):2087-2094.

Disclosures

Susanne Paukner and Steven P. Gelone are employees of Nabriva. Robert K. Flamm, Jennifer M. Streit, and Helio S. Sader are employees of JMI Laboratories, which was contracted by Nabriva to conduct the susceptibility testing.

Acknowledgments

Funding for development of this poster was provided by Nabriva Therapeutics to C4 MedSolutions, LLC (Yardley, PA), a CHC Group company

Scan this QR code with your electronic device to receive a PDF file of the poster or visit posters. c4medsolutions.com/SENTRY_ABSSSI

