### P1946



# Pharmacokinetic-Pharmacodynamic Target Attainment Analyses to Support Lefamulin Dose Justification and Susceptibility Breakpoint Determinations for Patients With Community-Acquired Bacterial Pneumonia

Sujata M. Bhavnani,<sup>1</sup> Jeffrey P. Hammel,<sup>1</sup> Nikolas J. Onufrak,<sup>1</sup> Wolfgang W. Wicha,<sup>2</sup> Susanne Paukner,<sup>2</sup> Helio S. Sader,<sup>3</sup> Christopher M. Rubino,<sup>1</sup> Jennifer Schranz,<sup>4</sup> Steven P. Gelone,<sup>4</sup> Paul G. Ambrose<sup>1</sup>

Nabriva Therapeutics Vienna, Austria and King of Prussia, PA, USA www.nabriva.com

## **INTRODUCTION & PURPOSE**

- Lefamulin (LEF, BC-3781) is an intravenous (IV) and oral (PO) pleuromutilin antimicrobial agent that demonstrates in vitro activity against the most common pathogens causing community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), including Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Staphylococcus aureus (including methicillin-resistant S. aureus), and atypical pathogens.<sup>1,2</sup>
- The current paradigm for selecting antimicrobial dosing regimens in clinical development involves the use of data from nonclinical pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD), population PK, and in vitro surveillance studies, together with Monte Carlo simulation to assess PK-PD target attainment.<sup>3</sup>
- PK-PD target attainment analyses<sup>4</sup> were carried out to provide support for LEF IV-to-PO and PO dosing regimens evaluated in 2 recently completed phase 3 studies in patients with CAP.<sup>5,6</sup>
- Evaluation of PK data from patients in clinical trials provides the benefit of confirming dose selection decisions made in early-stage development.
- As described herein, a population PK model refined based on PK data collected in phase 3,<sup>7</sup> nonclinical PK-PD targets for efficacy,<sup>8</sup> and *in vitro* surveillance data<sup>1</sup> were used with Monte Carlo simulation to carry out PK-PD target attainment analyses to provide dose justification for LEF IV and PO dosing regimens evaluated in phase 3 studies in patients with CAP and decision support for LEF susceptibility breakpoints against S. pneumoniae and S. aureus.

### METHODS

- Using a previously developed population PK model for LEF<sup>7</sup> and individual PK parameters, LEF free-drug plasma and total-drug epithelial lining fluid (ELF) concentration-time profiles were generated for 5000 simulated patients with CAP after administration of LEF 150 mg IV every 12 hours (q12h), 600 mg PO q12h for 5 days under fasting conditions (fasted), and 600 mg PO q12h for 5 days under fed conditions (fed).
- Free-drug plasma and total-drug ELF 24-hour area under the concentration-time curve (AUC) values on Day 1 were determined using numeric integration.
- Percent probabilities of PK-PD target attainment by minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and overall (ie, weighted over S. pneumoniae and S. aureus MIC distributions for isolates collected worldwide)<sup>1</sup> were determined using Day 1 AUC values and total-drug ELF and free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio targets for efficacy.<sup>8</sup>
- Median and randomly assigned total-drug ELF and free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio targets associated with a 1-log<sub>10</sub> colony forming units (CFU) reduction from baseline for S. pneumoniae and S. aureus based on data from neutropenic murine-lung infection models<sup>8</sup> were evaluated.
- Total-drug ELF and free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio targets were randomly assigned for a simulated patient based on estimated log normal distributions of targets associated with each endpoint. Each distribution was truncated at  $\pm 2$  standard deviations on the log scale.

## RESULTS



<sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., Schenectady, NY, USA; <sup>4</sup>Nabriva Therapeutics US, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, Inc., King of Prussia, PA, USA <sup>1</sup>Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynami

Figures 1A and 1B show percent probabilities of attaining median or randomly assigned total-drug ELF and free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio targets associated with a 1-log<sub>10</sub> CFU reduction from baseline for S. pneumoniae, respectively, overlaid upon MIC distributions for S. pneumoniae isolates collected worldwide.

- Percent probabilities of PK-PD target attainment ranged from 91.4% to 100% at the MIC<sub>90</sub> of 0.25 mg/L for LEF 150 mg IV q12h and 600 mg PO q12h administered under fasted conditions. Under fed conditions for the PO dosing regimen, percent probabilities of PK-PD target attainment ranged from 76.6% to 98.8%.

Figure 1. Assessment of PK-PD Target Attainment by MIC on Day 1 Based on Median or Randomly Assigned Total-Drug ELF (A) and Free-Drug Plasma (B) AUC:MIC Ratio Targets Associated With a 1-log<sub>10</sub> CFU **Reduction From Baseline for S. pneumoniae, Overlaid Upon MIC** Distributions for S. pneumoniae Isolates Collected Worldwide

Figures 2A and 2B show percent probabilities of attaining median or randomly assigned total-drug ELF and free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio targets associated with a 1-log<sub>10</sub> CFU reduction from baseline for S. aureus, respectively, overlaid upon MIC distributions for S. aureus isolates collected worldwide.

of 0.12 mg/L for LEF 150 mg IV q12h and 600 mg PO q12h administered under fasted target attainment ranged from 91.5% to 100%.

### Figure 2. Assessment of PK-PD Target Attainment by MIC on Day 1 Based on **Reduction From Baseline for S. aureus, Overlaid Upon MIC Distributions for S. aureus Isolates Collected Worldwide**

AUC=area under the concentration-time curve; CFU=colony forming unit; ELF=epithelial lining fluid; IV=intravenous; MIC=minimum inhibitory concentration; PD=pharmacodynamic; PISP=penicillin-intermediate S. pneumoniae; PK=pharmacokinetic; PO=oral; PRSP=penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae; PSSP=penicillin-susceptible S. pneumoniae; q12h=every 12 hours.

AUC=area under the concentration-time curve; CFU=colony forming unit; ELF=epithelial lining fluid; IV=intravenous; MIC=minimum inhibitory AUC=area under the concentration-time curve; CFU=colony forming unit; ELF=epithelial lining fluid; IV=intravenous; MIC=minimum inhibitor concentration; MRSA=methicillin-resistant S. aureus; MSSA=methicillin-susceptible S. aureus; PD=pharmacodynamic; PK=pharmacokinetic; concentration; PD=pharmacodynamic; PK=pharmacokinetic; PO=oral; q12h=every 12 hours. PO=oral; q12h=every 12 hours.

### 29<sup>th</sup> European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases; April 13–16, 2019; Amsterdam, Netherlands

Percent probabilities of PK-PD target attainment ranged from 98.3% to 100% at the MIC<sub>90</sub> conditions. Under fed conditions for the PO dosing regimen, percent probabilities of PK-PD

Median or Randomly Assigned Total-Drug ELF (A) and Free-Drug Plasma (B) AUC:MIC Ratio Targets Associated With a 1-log<sub>10</sub> CFU

- Overall percent probabilities of PK-PD target attainment based on median or randomly assigned total-drug ELF and free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio targets associated with a 1-log<sub>10</sub> CFU reduction from baseline and the MIC distributions for S. pneumoniae and S. aureus were  $\geq$ 95.1%.
- Figures 3A and 3B show the fitted functions for relationships between change in log<sub>10</sub> CFU from baseline at 24 hours and LEF total-drug ELF AUC:MIC ratio based on the Hill models for S. pneumoniae and S. aureus, respectively.
- On each figure, horizontal box-and-whisker plots representing Day 1 total-drug ELF AUC:MIC ratio distributions for simulated patients after administration of LEF IV or PO dosing regimens are shown. MIC values were randomly assigned from the observed MIC distribution for each pathogen.
- These data demonstrate that all simulated patients after administration of IV or PO dosing regimens would be expected to achieve total-drug ELF AUC:MIC ratio targets associated with a 1-log<sub>10</sub> CFU reduction from baseline for both pathogens. Assessments based on free-drug plasma AUC:MIC ratio demonstrated similar findings (data not shown).
- Figure 3. Nonclinical PK-PD Relationships for Efficacy for S. pneumoniae (A) and S. aureus (B) Overlaid With Box-and-Whisker Plots of Day 1 Total-Drug ELF AUC:MIC Ratios Based on Randomly Assigned MIC Values for Simulated Patients After Administration of Lefamulin IV and PO Dosing Regimens





# CONCLUSIONS

- Results of these analyses provide support for LEF 150 mg IV q12h and 600 mg PO q12h for the treatment of patients with CAP and provide justification for administering PO doses without regard to food.
- The data described herein are also useful to support LEF susceptibility breakpoint determinations for S. pneumoniae and S. aureus.

### REFERENCES

- (1) Paukner S, et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019; 63(4):e02161-18.
- (2) Sader HS, et al. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67(5):1170-1175.
- (3) Trang M, et al. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2017;36:107-113.
- (4) Bhavnani SM, et al. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019: In press
- (5) Alexander E, et al. Oral lefamulin is safe and effective in the treatment of adults with community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP): results of Lefamulin Evaluation Against Pneumonia (LEAP 2) study. Abstract LB6. Presented at: IDWeek, October 3–7, 2018; San Francisco, CA.
- (6) File TM, Jr, et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2019; doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz090:[Epub ahead of print].
- (7) Onufrak NJ, et al. Population pharmacokinetic analysis for lefamulin using data from healthy volunteers and infected patients. Poster P1945. Presented at: 29th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, April 13–16, 2019; Amsterdam, Netherlands.
- (8) Wicha WW, et al. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2019;74:In press.

### **Acknowledgments and Disclosures**

This study was supported by Nabriva Therapeutics. Editorial and creative assistance for poster formatting services was provided by C4 MedSolutions, LLC (Yardley, PA, USA), a CHC Group company, and funded by Nabriva Therapeutics. Sujata M. Bhavnani, Jeffrey P. Hammel, Nikolas J. Onufrak, Christopher M. Rubino, and Paul G. Ambrose are employees of the Institute for Clinical Pharmacodynamics, which was contracted by Nabriva Therapeutics to perform the analyses described herein. Helio S. Sader is an employee of JMI Laboratories. Wolfgang W. Wicha, Susanne Paukner, Jennifer Schranz, and Steven P. Gelone are employees of and hold stock in Nabriva Therapeutics plc.

