FRIDAY - 345

### **ABSTRACT (amended)**

**Background:** Lefamulin is the first semi-synthetic pleuromutilin antibiotic for IV CABP is a major cause of adult mortality in Asia with an estimate of one and oral use in humans and is currently in Phase 3 trials for the treatment of million adult deaths per year.<sup>1</sup> The aetiology of CABP in Asia is similar to that CABP in adults. Lefamulin inhibits bacterial protein synthesis and its reported in the West in that S. pneumoniae, Mycoplasma pneumoniae, antibacterial profile includes atypical organisms such as Mycoplasma Chlamydophila pneumoniae and H. influenzae are significant aetiological pneumoniae, Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Legionella pneumophila. This agents.<sup>1,2</sup> Increasing resistance rates to commonly used antibiotics in many study investigated the activity of lefamulin and comparators against APAC countries complicate treatment, increase the severity of disease and contemporary typical respiratory pathogens collected from the Asia Pacific often prolong hospital stays.<sup>1</sup> (APAC) region.

**Methods:** Unique patients' isolates (*n*=684) were collected during the 2015 SENTRY surveillance program at 13 sites in the APAC region (Australia, Korea, Malaysia, New Zealand, Singapore, Taiwan) from patients with respiratory tract infections (93.3%), bloodstream infections (4.1%), and other infections (2.6%) Lefamulin and comparators were tested by CLSI broth microdilution methods, and susceptibility was determined using CLSI (2017) breakpoints. Results: Lefamulin displayed potent in vitro activity against S. pneumoniae, M. catarrhalis and H. influenzae. S. pneumoniae isolates were highly susceptible (S) to levofloxacin (98.7%) and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (88.7%), of CABP.

but only 66.0% were S to macrolides, 57.0% to penicillin (at ≤0.06 mg/L) and This study investigated the *in vitro* activity of lefamulin against pathogens 67.0% to tetracycline. Among *H. influenzae* isolates, susceptibility rates were commonly causing pneumonia collected from patients in APAC region as generally higher (azithromycin, 100%; amoxicillin/clav, 92.2%; levofloxacin part of the SENTRY surveillance program. 99.4%) except for ampicillin (64.9%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (57.8%). All (100%) *M. catarrhalis* were susceptible to macrolides, ß-lactams, fluoroquinolones and tetracyclines. Lefamulin's activity was not affected by resistance to macrolides, ß-lactams, fluoroquinolones or tetracyclines.

**Conclusion:** Lefamulin demonstrated potent activity against bacteria commonly causing respiratory tract infections collected from patients in APAC, including resistant strains. These data together with the known activity against atypical respiratory pathogens supports further development of lefamulin for the treatment of CABP in APAC.

50/50 - 05	•										
Organisms (no. of isolates)	Lefamulin	Amoxi/Clav	Azithro- mycin	Levo -floxacin	Figure 1. (A) Structure of lefamulin and (B) lefamulin in the PTC						
S. pneumoniae (309)	0.06 / 0.12	≤0.03 / 4	0.06 / >4	1/1							
Penicillin non-susc. (133) <sup>a</sup>	0.06 / 0.12	1 / >4	>4 / >4	1/1	Table 2. <i>In vitro</i> activity of lefamulin against <i>Mycoplasma pneumoniae</i> (Waites KB, <i>et al.</i> , 2017) <sup>4</sup>						
Macrolide resistant (105)	0.06 / 0.12	1 / >4	>4 / >4	1/1		•	, MIC	МІС	Range		
<i>S. aureus</i> (128)	0.06 / 0.12	ND	0.5 / >4	0.25 / >4	<i>M. pneumoniae,</i> total	Ν	[mg/L]	[mg/L]	[mg/L]		
MRSA (60)	0.06 / 0.12	ND	>4 / >4	>4 / >4	Lefamulin	60	≤0.001	0.002	≤0.001 - 0.008		
Macrolide- and levofloxacin- resistant MRSA (39)	≤0.03 / 0.12	ND	>4 / >4	>4 / >4	Azithromycin	60	16	>32	≤0.001 - >32		
H. influenzae (154)	0.5 / 1	1/4	1/1	≤0.015 / ≤0.015	Moxifloxacin	50	0.125	0.25	0.063 - 0.25		
M. catarrhalis (93)	0.06 / 0.12	0.12 / 0.25	0.015 / 0.03	0.03 / 0.06	Tetracycline	50	0.5	1	0.25 - 1		

### MIC<sub>50/00</sub> [mg/L] of lefamulin and comparators Table 1.

a, Used oral penicillin breakpoints (S at  $\leq 0.06 \text{ mg/L}$ ) according to CLSI (2017).

Lefamulin is a novel representative of pleuromutilin class antibiotics. Pleuromutilins inhibit bacterial protein synthesis of Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. Lefamulin effectively and selectively inhibits bacterial translation by binding to the peptidyl transferase center (PTC) via four H-bonds and other interactions at the A- and P-site resulting in an "induced fit" (Figure 1).<sup>3</sup> Lefamulin's antibacterial profile includes activity against typical and atypical respiratory pathogens, including C. pneumoniae and M. pneumoniae (Table 2), against which lefamulin shows bactericidal activity.<sup>4-6</sup> Currently, lefamulin is in late stage development for the treatment







# In Vitro Activity of Lefamulin against Bacterial Pathogens Commonly Causing Pneumonia **Collected from Patients in Asia (2015)**

Paukner, Susanne<sup>1</sup>; Sader, Helio S.<sup>2</sup>; Streit, Jennifer M.<sup>2</sup>; Flamm, Robert K.<sup>2</sup>; Gelone, Steven P.<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Nabriva Therapeutics, Vienna, Austria; <sup>2</sup> JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Nabriva Therapeutics, King of Prussia, PA, USA

### INTRODUCTION



## Table

Organi S. pne Lefar Amox

Azith Ceftr Clind Cotri Eryth Levo

Penic Tetra S. aure

Azith Clind Doxy Eryth Levo Linez Oxac Vanc

H. influ Lefa Amo

M. cat Amo Eryth Levo Tetra

<sup>a</sup>, Criteria as published by CLSI [2017] <sup>8</sup>; <sup>b</sup>, Non-meningitis breakpoints applied for penicillin; <sup>c</sup>, Oral breakpoints applied; <sup>d</sup>, all except 2 isolates (98.4%) inhibited at ≤0.25mg/L; <sup>e</sup>, β-lactamase positive

### ASM Microbe 2017, June 1-5, New Orleans, LA, USA

## RESULTS

able 3. In vitro activity of lefamulin and comparators [mg/L]										
Organism (N)	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	<b>MIC</b> <sub>99</sub>	Range [mg/L]	<b>% S</b> ª	<b>%  </b> ª	% <b>R</b> <sup>a</sup>			
5. pneumoniae (309)										
Lefamulin	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.015 to 0.5	-	-	-			
Amoxicillin/Clav.	≤0.03	4	>4	≤0.03 to >4	88.7	5.2	6.1			
Azithromycin	0.06	>4	>4	≤0.03 to >4	66.0	0.3	33.7			
Ceftaroline	≤0.008	0.12	0.5	≤0.008 to >1	99.4	-	-			
Ceftriaxone	0.03	1	>2	≤0.015 to >2	91.9	6.5	1.6 <sup>b</sup>			
Clindamycin	≤0.12	>1	>1	≤0.12 to >1	73.5	0.3	26.2			
Cotrimoxazole	≤0.5	>4	>4	≤0.5 to >4	6.3	7.4	26.2			
Erythromycin	0.03	>2	>2	≤0.015 to >2	66.0	0.0	34.0			
Levofloxacin	1	1	4	0.25 to >4	98.7	0.3	1.0			
Penicillin	≤0.06	2	8	≤0.06 to 8	57.0	24.9	18.1 <sup>c</sup>			
Tetracycline	0.25	>4	>4	≤0.12 to >4	67.0	1.3	31.7			
. aureus (128)										
Lefamulin	0.06	0.12	1 <sup>d</sup>	≤0.03 to >1	-	-	-			
Azithromycin	0.5	>4	>4	0.12 to >4	60.2	2.3	37.5			
Ceftaroline	0.25	2	2	≤0.06 to 4	78.1	21.1	0.8			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	>2	≤0.25 to >2	73.4	0.0	26.6			
Doxycycline	≤0.06	8	8	≤0.06 to >8	82.8	16.4	0.8			
Erythromycin	0.25	>8	>8	≤0.06 to >8	60.2	5.5	34.4			
Levofloxacin	0.25	>4	>4	≤0.03 to >4	62.5	0.0	37.5			
Linezolid	1	1	2	0.25 to 2	100.0	-	0.0			
Oxacillin	0.5	>2	>2	≤0.25 to >2	53.1	-	46.9			
Vancomycin	0.5	1	1	0.25 to 1	100.0	0.0	0.0			
I. influenzae (154)										
Lefamulin	0.5	1	2	≤0.12 to 4	-	-	-			
Amoxicillin/Clav.	1	4	16	≤0.12 to 16	92.2	-	7.8			
Ampicillin	0.5	>8	>8	0.12 to >8	64.9	1.9	33.1 <sup>e</sup>			
Azithromycin	1	1	2	0.12 to 4	100.0	-	-			
Ceftriaxone	≤0.015	0.06	0.25	≤0.015 to 0.25	100.0	-	-			
Clarithromycin	8	8	16	0.5 to 16	94.8	5.2	0.0			
Levofloxacin	≤0.015	≤0.015	0.5	≤0.015 to >2	99.4	-	-			
Tetracycline	0.5	0.5	16	≤0.12 to >16	96.1	0.6	3.2			
Cotrimoxazole	0.06	>4	>4	≤0.03 to >4	57.8	8.4	33.8			
M. catarrhalis (93)										
Lefamulin	0.06	0.12	0.25	≤0.008 to 0.25	-	-	-			
Amoxicillin/Clav.	0.12	0.25	0.25	≤0.03 to 0.25	100.0	-	0.0			
Azithromycin	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.008 to 0.06	100.0	-	-			
Ceftriaxone	0.25	0.5	0.5	≤0.015 to 0.5	100.0	-	-			
Erythromycin	0.12	0.12	0.5	≤0.015 to 0.5	100.0	-	-			
Levofloxacin	0.03	0.06	1	≤0.015 to 1	100.0	-	-			
Tetracycline	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.12 to 0.25	100.0	0.0	0.0			

## **RESULTS** continued

- comparators.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Asia.

## REFERENCES

Med. 3;7(1) (2017)

Lefamulin demonstrated potent antibacterial activity against this collection of respiratory clinical isolates collected from patients in APAC region in 2015 (Table 3).

• Lefamulin was highly active against *S. pneumoniae* with 100% of isolates being inhibited at a lefamulin concentration of 0.5 mg/L (MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 0.06/0.12 mg/L). Lefamulin's potency was not affected by the presence of penicillin-or macrolide-resistance (Table 1).

• S. pneumoniae isolates were susceptible to ceftaroline and levolfloxacin (>99%), ceftriaxone (91.9%), amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (88.7%) whereas suceptibility to penicillin and macrolide antibiotics was significantly reduced (57.0% and 66.0%, respectively).

Lefamulin showed potent activity against S. aureus with 98.4% of all isolates being inhibited at ≤0.25 mg/L regardless of their resistance phenotype. Isolates included 46.9% MRSA (71.7% macrolide-resistant).

• Potent *in vitro* activity of lefamulin was also shown against *H. influenzae* and M. catarrhalis, with 99% of H. influenzae being inhibited at a lefamulin concentration of 2 mg/L and with 100% of *M. catarrhalis* being inhibited at 0.25 mg/L.

Susceptibility rates of H. influenzae to comparators were generally high, with the exception of ampicillin (64.9%) and cotrimoxazole (57.8%).

• All *M. catarrhalis* isolates were fully susceptible to the tested

Lefamulin displayed potent antibacterial activity against this contemporary collection of typical respiratory pathogens from

The activity of lefamulin was not negatively influenced by resistance to other antibiotic classes including macrolides, **ß-lactams, tetracyclines or fluoroquinolones.** 

This potent activity against typical respiratory pathogens, as well as atypicals, such as Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Legionella pneumophila 5-6 and the availability of IV and oral formulations, warrants further evaluation of lefamulin for the treatment of CABP.

(1) Liam C. Respirology 12:162-164 (2007) (2) File T.M. Lancet 362:1991-2001 (2003)

- (4) Paukner, et al. AAC 57(9), 4489-4495 (2013)
- (5) Waites, K. B., et al. AAC 61(2)(2017)
- (3) Paukner S., Riedl R. Cold Spring Harb Perspect (6) Sader H.S. et al. JAC 67(5):1170-11755 (2012)
  - (7) CLSI*, M100*(2017)